

NERC

NORTH AMERICAN ELECTRIC
RELIABILITY CORPORATION

2021 Summer Reliability Assessment

May 2021



Table of Contents

Preface	2	NPCC-New York	25
About this Report.....	3	NPCC-Ontario	26
Findings	4	NPCC-Québec	27
Implications and Recommendations	5	PJM	28
Summer Temperature and Drought Forecasts	6	SERC-East.....	29
Wildfire Risk Potential and BPS Impacts	7	SERC-Central.....	30
Finding: Risk Discussion	8	SERC-Southeast	31
Texas RE: ERCOT Interconnection.....	8	SERC-Florida Peninsula.....	32
WECC: Western Interconnection	10	SPP.....	33
On-Peak Planning Reserve Margins	15	Texas RE-ERCOT.....	34
Changes from Year-to-Year	16	WECC-AB	35
Risk Assessments of Resource and Demand Scenarios	17	WECC-BC	36
Transfers in a Wide-Area Event	18	WECC-CAMX.....	37
Regional Assessments Dashboards.....	19	WECC-NWPP and RMRG	38
MISO.....	20	WECC-SRSG	39
MRO-Manitoba Hydro.....	21	Data Concepts and Assumptions	40
MRO-Saskpower.....	22	Demand and Resource Tables.....	42
NPCC-Maritimes.....	23	Variable Energy Resource Contributions	47
NPCC-New England	24		


Preface

The vision for the Electric Reliability Organization Enterprise, which is comprised of the North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) and the six Regional Entities (RE), is a highly reliable and secure North American bulk power system (BPS). Our mission is to assure the effective and efficient reduction of risks to the reliability and security of the grid.

Reliability | Resilience | Security

Because nearly 400 million citizens in North America are counting on us

The North American BPS is made up of six RE boundaries as shown in the map below. The multicolored area denotes overlap as some load-serving entities participate in one RE while associated Transmission Owners/Operators participate in another. Refer to the [Data Concepts and Assumptions](#) section for more information. A map and list of the assessment areas can be found in the [Regional Assessments Dashboards](#) section.



MRO	Midwest Reliability Organization
NPCC	Northeast Power Coordinating Council
RF	ReliabilityFirst
SERC	SERC Reliability Corporation
Texas RE	Texas Reliability Entity
WECC	WECC

About this Report

NERC's *2021 Summer Reliability Assessment (SRA)* identifies, assesses, and reports on areas of concern regarding the reliability of the North American BPS for the upcoming summer season. In addition, the SRA presents peak electricity demand and supply changes and highlights any unique regional challenges or expected conditions that might impact the BPS. The reliability assessment process is a coordinated reliability evaluation between the Reliability Assessment Subcommittee (RAS), the RE, and NERC staff. This report reflects NERC's independent assessment and is intended to inform industry leaders, planners, operators, and regulatory bodies so that they are better prepared to take necessary actions to ensure BPS reliability. This report also provides an opportunity for the industry to discuss plans and preparations to ensure reliability for the upcoming summer period.

Findings

NERC's annual SRA covers Summer 2021 (June–September). This assessment provides an evaluation of the resource and transmission system adequacy that is necessary to meet projected summer peak demands. In addition to assessing resource adequacy, the SRA monitors and identifies potential reliability issues of interest and regional topics of concern. The following key findings represent NERC's independent evaluation of electric generation and transmission capacity as well as potential operational concerns that may need to be addressed for the upcoming summer:

- Parts of North America are at elevated risk to energy emergencies (see [Figure 1](#)). Above-normal heat in summer can challenge grid operators by increasing demand from temperature-dependent loads (such as air-conditioning and refrigeration) and reducing electricity supplies as a result of lower-than-capacity resource output or increased outages. Wide-area heat events (such as the August 2020 heat wave that affected much of the Western United States and Mexico) are especially challenging as fewer resources are available for electricity transfers between areas because they are required to serve native load:
 - In **Texas RE**, on-peak Planning Reserve Margins have increased to 15.3% from 12.9% last summer with the addition of 7,858 MW wind, solar, and battery resources since 2020. However, extreme weather can affect both generation and demand and cause energy shortages that lead to energy emergencies in the Electric Reliability Council of Texas (ERCOT). Furthermore, with a significant portion of electricity supply coming from wind generation, operators must have sufficient flexible resources to cover periods of low-wind output.
 - Across most of **WECC**, resource and energy adequacy is a significant concern for the summer with overall capacity and demand projections for the area at similar levels to those seen in 2020 when a wide-area heat event caused energy emergencies and managed firm load loss. Though new flexible resources have been added in California, peak demand projections have also increased in many parts of the west, and overall resource capacity is lower compared to 2020. Increasing demand and lower resource capacity across WECC can mean the availability of surplus capacity for transfer into stressed areas is declining.
 - **MISO** and **NPCC-New England** have sufficient resources for periods of peak demand. However, the above-normal levels of demand in the 90/10 forecast are likely to exceed capacity resources and require additional non-firm transfers from surrounding areas.
 - All other areas have sufficient resources to manage normal summer peak demand and are at low risk of energy shortfalls from more extreme demand or generation outage conditions. Anticipated Reserve Margins meet or surpass the Reference Margin Level, indicating that planned resources in these areas are adequate to manage the risk of a capacity deficiency under normal conditions.¹ Furthermore, based on risk scenario analysis in these areas, resources and energy appear adequate.
- **WECC-California is at risk of energy emergencies during periods of normal peak summer demand and high risk when above-normal demand is widespread in the west.** Prior to summer, the planning reserve margin (which is based on existing and firm capacity) for the California-Mexico assessment area was below the 18.4% Reference Margin Level that WECC calculates is



Figure 1: Energy Emergency Risk Areas

¹ For more information, see the description of the "Reference Margin Level" in the [Data Concepts and Assumptions](#) section of this report or refer to NERC's *Long-term Reliability Assessment*: https://www.nerc.com/pa/RAPA/ra/Reliability%20Assessments%20DL/NERC_LTRA_2020.pdf

needed for maintaining loss-of-load risk below a 1-day-in-10-year benchmark (a 400 MW shortfall at peak demand). Probabilistic studies indicate 10,185 MWh of energy in the area is expected to go unserved this summer. Over 3 GW of additional resources are expected for this summer with most coming in the form of new solar photovoltaic (PV) generation. These generation plants can provide energy to support peak demand; however, solar PV output falls off rapidly in late afternoon while high demand often remains.

Imports to the area are needed to maintain reliability when demand peaks in the afternoon and to ramp up even further for several hours as internal resources draw down. California will have 675 MW of new battery energy storage systems on-line at the start of the summer that can continue to supply stored energy for periods when needed. Reliance on non-firm imports to cover high demand or low resource output conditions heightens the risk that operators will need to use energy emergency alerts (EEA)—and trigger the shedding of firm load in above-normal heat conditions—to maintain a stable BPS at times. Planned resource additions of 1,300 MW over the summer, including 825 MW of new battery storage, are expected to help mitigate late-summer risks.

- **Protecting the critical electrical workforce from health risks during pandemic remains a priority.** Protocols put in place for reducing risks to personnel in control centers and on the front lines, including mutual assistance in hurricane-damaged areas, should be maintained as warranted by public health conditions. Also related to the coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic, operators must continue to give attention to daily load shapes that can be sensitive to changing behaviors of the workforce and commercial loads. In 2021, there is remaining uncertainty in demand projections as governments adjust to changing public health guidelines and conditions and as the behavior of society adapts.
- **The Late-summer wildfire season in Western United States and Canada poses risk to BPS reliability.** Government agencies warn of the potential for above-normal wildfire risk beginning in July in parts of the Western United States as well as Central and Western Canada.^{2,3} Operation of the BPS can be impacted in areas where wildfires are active as well as areas where there is heightened risk of wildfire ignition due to weather and ground conditions (see [Figure 3](#)).

Implications and Recommendations

The summer of 2021 is shaping up to be a challenge for electric system operators in many parts of North America, combining the resource situation described above with significant drought, fire, and high temperature risk assessments by independent agencies. In the near term, NERC recommends the following:

- Load-serving entities (LSE) and regulators work with their Balancing Authorities (BA) and Reliability Coordinators (RC) to ensure that clear lines of communication are open for coordination during periods of system stress. RC, BA, and Transmission Operators review outage schedules well in advance and coordinate across the RC area.
- BA and RC conduct drills on their alert programs to ensure that they are prepared to signal need for conservative operations, restrictive maintenance periods, etc. BA and Generator Operators verify protocols and operator training for communication and dispatch.
- LSE prepare for demand-side conservation measures and potentially condition customers to their need and efficacy.
- RC and BA maintain the highest vigilance during peak risk hours and forecasted high temperature periods.
- LSE review non-firm customer inventories and rolling black out procedures to ensure that no critical infrastructure loads (e.g., natural gas, telecommunications, etc.) would be affected.

Finally, the potential for these conditions to emerge were reflected in NERC's *2018* and *2020 Long-Term Reliability Assessments*; we recommend policy makers, system planners, LSE, and Generator Owners review these assessments and factor them into their integrated resource plans, and ISO/RTO factor them into their own generation queue management and long-range planning processes.⁴

² See North American Seasonal Fire Assessment and Outlook, April 2021: https://www.predictiveservices.nifc.gov/outlooks/NA_Outlook.pdf.

³ See Natural Resources Canada seasonal wildland fire forecasts: <https://cwfis.cfs.nrcan.gc.ca/maps/forecasts>

⁴ NERC's Reliability Assessments web page: <https://www.nerc.com/pa/RAPA/ra/Pages/default.aspx>

Summer Temperature and Drought Forecasts

Peak electricity demand in most areas is strongly influenced by temperature. Weather officials are expecting above normal temperatures for much of North America this summer (see Figure 2). Assessment area load forecasts account for many years of historical demand data, often up to 30 years, to predict summer peak demand and prepare for more extreme conditions. Above average seasonal temperatures can contribute to high peak demand as well as increases in forced outages for generation and some BPS equipment. Effective preseason maintenance and preparations are particularly important to BPS reliability in severe or prolonged periods of above-normal temperatures.




Figure 2: United States and Canada Summer Temperature Outlook⁵

⁵ Seasonal forecasts obtained from U.S. National Weather Service and Natural Resources Canada: https://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/predictions/long_range/ and https://weather.gc.ca/saisons/prob_e.html

Wildfire Risk Potential and BPS Impacts

Drought conditions extend over the western half of the United States and the middle-third of Canada. Above-normal fire risk at the beginning of the summer exists in the Southwest United States and over the middle-third of North America in the spring, setting the stage for an active fire season at the beginning of the summer (see [Figure 3](#)). Government agencies predict an active early fire season in the Southwest United States as well as above-normal risk in the lower half of central Canada (Southern Prairies, Boreal forest, grassland and parkland areas).⁶ In late summer, hotter and drier conditions are expected to cause elevated fire risk in California and the United States West Coast. BPS operation can be impacted in areas where wildfires are active as well as areas where there is heightened risk of wildfire ignition due to weather and ground conditions (see [Finding: Risk Discussion](#)).




Figure 3: North American Seasonal Fire Assessment for June and July 2021

⁶ See North American Seasonal Fire Assessment and Outlook, April 2021: https://www.predictiveservices.nifc.gov/outlooks/NA_Outlook.pdf

Finding: Risk Discussion

Texas RE: ERCOT Interconnection

With forecasted growth in peak demand and new generation resources primarily coming in the form of variable wind and solar generation, the risk of shortages that lead to energy emergencies in ERCOT continues for the upcoming summer. On-peak Planning Reserve Margins have increased to 15.3% from 12.9% last summer with the addition of 7,858 MW wind, solar, and battery resources since 2020; This exceeds the 13.75% Reference Margin Level established in ERCOT for reliably serving demand under normal summer peak conditions. However, extreme weather can affect both resource and demand and cause energy shortages that lead to energy emergencies in ERCOT. Furthermore, with a significant portion of electricity supply coming from wind generation, operators must have sufficient flexible resources to cover periods of low-wind output (see [Figure 4](#) for a risk scenario involving 90/10 low wind conditions and normal 50/50 peak demand). Operational mitigations may be needed in unexpected wind generation shortfalls to avoid energy emergencies.




Figure 4: Combination of Low-Wind and Normal Generator Outages at Peak Demand in ERCOT

Weather conditions can create an elevated risk of operating emergencies in ERCOT in the event that higher demand or lower resource output diminishes the relatively low reserve margins that exist on the system. Shown in [Figure 5](#) are the 1-in-10 year high demand levels alongside an extreme low-resource scenario: 12.1% of expected thermal resources are unavailable as well as 76.8% reduced output of expected wind (this is 6.2% of the total installed nameplate wind capacity operating). Combinations of high peak demand and extreme low resource output are exceedingly rare; however, they are plausible and provide industry and stakeholders with insights into potential emergency conditions. The result of the described scenario is a 12.7 GW shortfall. In challenging conditions like those depicted, operators would resort to implementing rotating outages as a measure of preserving the BPS.




Figure 5: Impact of Extreme Demand and Resource Outages in ERCOT

In addition to the 1-in-10 year demand scenario above, ERCOT conducted an additional extreme demand scenario based on a wide-area heat event. In this scenario, peak demand increases by over 4,900 MW from a normal 50/50 demand forecast as all of ERCOT’s eight weather zones show simultaneous high levels of demand from higher temperatures. Even with the normal resource performance and low outages typically seen in ERCOT, the electricity demand from a wide-area heat event would likely lead to operating emergencies and a potential for unserved load.⁷

Currently, much of Texas is experiencing a drought, and projections for below-normal rainfall are cause for concern for electric reliability.⁸ If drought conditions continue to deteriorate, the likelihood of the actual summer peak demand exceeding the forecast and/or generation derates due to low cooling lake levels increases. Generator outages are expected to increase during severe and prolonged drought conditions due to cooling water supply and temperature issues. These issues can cause forced outages of the thermal and wind fleet.

Generator performance in ERCOT is optimized for summer conditions, supporting reliable system performance despite relatively lower reserve margins. The generation fleet in ERCOT is a diverse mix of fuel types, including natural gas, nuclear, on-shore and coastal wind, solar, and a small amount of coal-fired generation. Some design choices, such as open-air thermal plants, provide optimum summer efficiency but may contribute to operating stress at other times. The availability of reliable, flexible generation is important to balancing system needs with a high penetration of variable, weather-dependent generation from wind and solar.

⁷ See ERCOT’s 2021 Summer Seasonal Assessment of Resource Adequacy (SARA): <http://www.ercot.com/content/wcm/lists/219840/SARA-FinalSummer2021.pdf>

⁸ <https://droughtmonitor.unl.edu/CurrentMap/StateDroughtMonitor.aspx?TX>

WECC: Western Interconnection

Resource and energy adequacy is a significant concern for the summer across most of the Western Interconnection with overall capacity and demand projections for the area at similar levels to those seen in 2020 when a wide-area heat event caused energy emergencies and managed firm load loss. New flexible resources have been added in California and some plans for generation retirements have been put on hold to improve resource availability for periods of peak demand as well as for times when variable generation output falls off. However, peak demand projections have also increased in many parts of the Western United States, and overall resource capacity is lower compared to 2020 (see [Table 1](#)). Increased demand and lower resource capacity across the Western Interconnection can mean limited availability of surplus capacity for transfer into load centers for parts of California.

August 2020 Heatwave Event in the Western Interconnection

From August 14 through August 19, 2020, the Western United States suffered an intense and prolonged heatwave that affected many areas across the Western Interconnection.⁹ Because of above-average temperatures, generation and transmission capacity struggled to keep up with increased electricity demand. Throughout many supply-constrained hours over this same period, generation resource output was below preseason peak forecasts for nearly all resource types, including natural gas, wind, solar, and hydro. During the event, 10 Western Interconnection BA issued 18 separate EEA. The impacts of the August heatwave struck the entirety of the Western Interconnection and caused a peak demand record of 162,017 MW on August 18, 2020, at 4:00 p.m. Mountain time. Although demand peaked on August 18, the most severe reliability consequence of the heatwave event occurred at the beginning, when 1,087 MW of firm load was shed on August 14 and 692 MW was shed on August 15 in California. An in-depth evaluation of the August 2020 Heatwave Event on BPS operations will be included in the 2021 State of Reliability report. The State of Reliability covers significant BPS events from the prior year and is typically published mid-year.

Table 1: Western Interconnection On-Peak Resource Adequacy			
WECC - AB			
	2020 SRA	2021 SRA	2020 vs. 2021 SRA
Demand Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	11,500	10,886	-5.3%
Net Internal Demand	11,500	10,886	-5.3%
Resource Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	14,356	12,205	-15.0%
Anticipated Resources	14,356	13,928	-3.0%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	24.8%	27.9%	3.1
Reference Margin Level	10.4%	9.7%	-0.7
WECC - BC			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2020 SRA	2021 SRA	2020 vs. 2021 SRA
Demand Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	8,278	8,264	-0.2%
Net Internal Demand	8,278	8,264	-0.2%
Resource Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	11,471	11,178	-2.6%
Anticipated Resources	11,686	11,363	-2.8%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference

⁹ WECC August Heat Wave Event information provided by [WECC's August Heat Wave Analysis Presentation](#)

Table 1: Western Interconnection On-Peak Resource Adequacy			
Anticipated Reserve Margin	41.2%	37.5%	-3.7
Reference Margin Level	10.4%	9.7%	-0.7
WECC - CA/MX			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2020 SRA	2021 SRA	2020 vs. 2021 SRA
Demand Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	53,236	55,409	4.1%
Net Internal Demand	52,326	54,487	4.1%
Resource Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	63,186	63,396	0.3%
Anticipated Resources	63,278	67,440	6.6%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	20.9%	23.8%	2.9
Reference Margin Level	13.7%	18.4%	4.7
WECC - NWPP-US & RMRG			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2020 SRA	2021 SRA	2020 vs. 2021 SRA
Demand Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	66,532	67,117	0.9%
Net Internal Demand	65,664	66,030	0.6%
Resource Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	78,839	70,069	-11.1%
Anticipated Resources	80,457	77,210	-4.0%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	*	16.9%	*
Reference Margin Level	*	14.3%	*
WECC - SRSG			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2020 SRA	2021 SRA	2020 vs. 2021 SRA
Demand Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	25,145	24,751	-1.6%
Net Internal Demand	25,001	24,419	-2.3%
Resource Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	29,440	26,850	-8.8%
Anticipated Resources	29,917	27,904	-6.7%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	19.7%	14.3%	-5.4
Reference Margin Level	10.0%	9.8%	-0.2

Responding to supply shortages from August 2020 and a directive from the California Public Utilities Commission, utilities in California have been procuring additional generating capacity for Summer 2021.¹⁰ Existing on-peak capacity for the California-Mexico (CAMX) assessment area is 63.4 GW, a slight increase from 2020. However, a total of 3.4 GW of new resources are in late-stage planning for addition this summer; without these resources, the CAMX area will have an on-peak planning reserve margin of 17.6%, just short of the 18.4% Reference Margin Level target set by WECC for the area.¹¹ See Figure 6 for peak hour existing certain and anticipated resource reserve margins for the Western Interconnection assessment areas.




Figure 6: On-Peak Planning Reserve Margins in the Western Interconnection Assessment Areas

Most of the resource additions in California come in the form of new solar PV generation. These generation plants can provide energy to support peak demand; however, solar PV output falls off rapidly in late afternoon while summer demand often remains (see the discussion in the [Western Interconnection Risk Scenarios](#) section). Battery storage systems can supply energy to smooth the system ramping needs associated with high amounts of variable generation; by summer, nearly 600 MW of large-scale battery storage projects will have come on-line in California with an additional 800 MW expected by August 1.¹² The California Independent System Operator (CAISO) has performed significant work to support the integration of these new technologies into market and operating systems so that they will enhance grid reliability.

Throughout the Western Interconnection, BAs rely on flexible resources to support balancing the increasingly weather-dependent load with the variable generation within the resource mix. Dispatchable generation from hydroelectric and thermal plants internal to the BA’s area as well as imports from surplus energy in another area are called upon by operators when area shortfalls are anticipated. Under normal

¹⁰ See California Public Utilities Commission Emergency Reliability Rulemaking R.20-11-003

¹¹ WECC’s Reference Margin Levels are based on a probabilistic approach for Loss-of-Load Probability (LOLP) less than or equal to 0.02% (approximately a 1-day-in-10-year loss of load). For more information see the *NERC 2020 Long-Term Reliability Assessment (LTRA)* Table 10: https://www.nerc.com/pa/RAPA/ra/Reliability%20Assessments%20DL/NERC_LTRA_2020.pdf

¹² A summary of resource additions in the CAISO area is found in Table 10 of the *CAISO Summer Loads and Resources Assessment, May 2021*: <http://www.caiso.com/Documents/2021-Summer-Loads-and-Resources-Assessment.pdf>

conditions, there is sufficient energy and resource capacity and an adequate transmission network for transfers between areas to meet system ramping needs. However, conditions such as wide-area heat events can reduce the availability of resources for transfer as areas serve higher internal demands. Additionally, transmission networks can become stressed when events such as wildfires or wide-area heatwaves cause network congestion. The growing reliance on transfers within the Western Interconnection and falling resource capacity in many adjacent areas increases the risk that extreme events will lead to load interruption.

Western Interconnection Risk Scenarios

Probabilistic studies performed by WECC identified a continued risk of energy shortfalls. For the upcoming summer, the WECC-CAMX area has 10,180 MWh of expected unserved energy (EUE) and the Northwest Power Pool and the Rocky Mountain Reserve Sharing Group (WECC-NWPP & RMRG) has 3,442 MWh of EUE; all other WECC areas have negligible EUE. WECC examined risk across a wide probability spectrum of potential combinations of high loads and low generation levels, with and without dependency on neighboring BA areas, and how deviations from those expected means would affect reliability.¹³ The risk analysis charts in the [Regional Assessments Dashboards](#) illustrate the potential for above-normal peak demand and resource outage scenarios, similar to those seen in 2020, to result in operating emergencies in all WECC assessment areas with the exception of the winter-peaking Canadian provinces. For example, [Figure 7](#) is for the WECC CAMX area. Wide-area heatwave events can heighten energy shortfall risks throughout the Western Interconnection by reducing the availability of surplus capacity for sharing or by loading the transmission network to the limits of its transfer capability.




Figure 7: CAMX On-Peak Risk Scenario

¹³ See *Western Assessment of Resource Adequacy Report: [Western Assessment of Resource Adequacy Report 12-18 \(Final\).pdf.pdf \(wecc.org\)](#)*

In summer, CAMX can be exposed to greater risk of resource shortfall for the hours that immediately follow the peak demand. The reason the risk is greater in these hours is that solar resource output is rapidly diminishing with the setting sun. Shown in the scenario depicted in Figure 8, anticipated resources are lower than on peak due to the reduced solar PV outputs. During periods of peak demand and normal forced outages, imports provide the needed energy to ensure demand and operating reserve requirements are met. Demand or resource derates from extreme conditions that cannot be satisfied with imports will result in energy emergencies and the potential for load shedding. Though trends for off-peak risk are increasing in other parts of the Western Interconnection, WECC’s analysis indicates that greater risk exposure after the demand peak is only exhibited in CAMX.




Figure 8: CAMX Highest Risk Hour Scenario (Hour Ending 7:00 p.m. Pacific Time)

Given that little has changed in the available electricity resources and the expected demand throughout the Western Interconnection, the summer-peaking areas remain at risk for localized shortfalls to exceed the availability of resource assistance and transmission deliverability during events like the 2020 August wide-area heat wave. Early generation and load forecasting based on long-term meteorological conditions will be important to maximize available generation and prepare load management plans for challenging weather. Enhancements to day-ahead markets and operational planning that were put in place and were effective in mitigating the impacts of the second, higher temperature heat wave that extended across the Western United States in September 2020 will need to be employed again to support BPS reliability in similar conditions.

Wildfire Impacts to the BPS in the Western Interconnections

Operation of the BPS can be impacted in areas where wildfires are active as well as areas where there is heightened risk of wildfire ignition due to weather and ground conditions. Wildfire prevention planning in California and other areas include power shut-off programs in high fire-risk areas. When conditions warrant implementing these plans, power lines (including transmission-level lines) may be preemptively de-energized in high fire-risk areas to prevent wildfire ignitions. Other wildfire risk mitigation activities include implementing enhanced vegetation management, equipment inspections, system hardening, and added

situational awareness measures. In January 2021, the Electric Reliability Organization published the *Wildfire Mitigation Reference Guide*¹⁴ to promote preparedness within the North American electric power industry and share the experience and practices from utilities in the Western Interconnection.

On-Peak Planning Reserve Margins

The Anticipated Reserve Margin, which is based on available resource capacity, is a metric used to evaluate resource adequacy by comparing the projected capability of anticipated resources to serve forecasted peak demand.¹⁵ Large year-to-year changes in anticipated resources or forecasted peak demand (net internal demand) can greatly impact Planning Reserve Margin calculations. All assessment areas have sufficient Anticipated Reserve Margins to meet or exceed their Reference Margin Level for Summer 2021 (see Figure 9). Variable energy resources, including wind, solar, and types of hydro generation, often contribute significantly less of their installed capability at the period of peak demand. Consequently, the capacity contribution of variable energy resources to an areas anticipated resources may be a fraction of the installed capacity (see [Variable Energy Resource Contributions](#)).




Figure 9: Summer 2021 Anticipated/Prospective Reserve Margins Compared to Reference Margin Level

¹⁴ See the NERC Wildfire Mitigation Reference Guide, January 2021: https://nerc.com/comm/RSTC/Documents/Wildfire%20Mitigation%20Reference%20Guide_January_2021.pdf

¹⁵ Generally, anticipated resources include generators and firm capacity transfers that are expected to be available to serve load during electrical peak loads for the season. Prospective Resources are those that could be available but do not meet criteria to be counted as Anticipated Resources. Refer to the [Data Concepts and Assumptions](#) section for additional information on Anticipated/Prospective Reserve Margins, Anticipated/Prospective Resources, and Reference Margin Levels.

Changes from Year-to-Year

Understanding the changes from year-to-year can give insights for the upcoming season. **Figure 10** provides the relative change from the Summer 2020 to the Summer 2021 period. The assessment area tables in the **Demand and Resource Tables** section provide details of the demand and resource components that make up the Anticipated Reserve Margins for each assessment area. In the following areas, Anticipated Reserve Margin changed by more than five percentage points, and none of the changes result in a resource adequacy concern for the upcoming summer:

- **MRO-Manitoba Hydro:** New hydro generators begin operation in May and July.
- **NPCC-Maritimes:** A decrease in demand-side management availability accounts for the majority of Anticipated Reserve Margin loss for the Maritimes footprint.
- **NPCC-New England, Québec, and WECC-SRSG:** Resources have fallen year-on-year with generation retirements.




Figure 10: Summer 2020 to Summer 2021 Anticipated Reserve Margins Year-to-Year Change¹⁶

¹⁶ WECC-NWPP and WECC-RMRG merged in 2020, so an Anticipated Reserve Margin or a Reference Margin Level was not produced for the 2020 assessment year for comparison.

Risk Assessments of Resource and Demand Scenarios

Areas can face energy shortfalls despite having Planning Reserve Margins that exceed Reference Margin Levels. Operating resources may be insufficient during periods of peak demand for reasons that could include generator scheduled maintenance, forced outages due to normal and more extreme weather conditions and loads, and low-likelihood conditions that affect generation resource performance or unit availability, including constrained fuel supplies. Grid operators employ operating mitigations or EEA (see [Table 2](#)) to obtain resources necessary to meet peak demands when operating resources are insufficient. The [Regional Assessments Dashboards](#) section in this report includes a seasonal risk scenario for each area that illustrates potential variation in resource and load as well as the potential effects that operating actions can have to mitigate shortfalls in operating reserves when insufficiencies occur.

About the Seasonal Risk Assessment

The operational risk analysis shown in the [Regional Assessments Dashboards](#) provides a deterministic scenario for understanding how various factors affecting resources and demand can combine to impact overall resource adequacy. Adjustments are applied cumulatively to anticipated capacity—such as reductions for typical generation outages (maintenance and forced not already accounted for in anticipated resources) and additions that represent the quantified capacity from operational tools, if any—that are available during scarcity conditions but have not been accounted for in the SRA reserve margins.

Resources throughout the scenario are compared against expected operating reserve requirements that are based on peak load and normal weather. The effects from low-probability events are also factored in through additional resource derates or low-output scenarios and extreme summer peak load conditions. Because the seasonal risk scenario shows the cumulative impact resulting from the occurrence of multiple low-probability events, the overall likelihood of the scenario is very low.

Table 2: Energy Emergency Alert Levels

EEA Level	Description	Circumstances
EEA 1	All available generation resources in use	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The BA is experiencing conditions where all available generation resources are committed to meet firm load, firm transactions, and reserve commitments, and is concerned about sustaining its required contingency reserves. Non-firm wholesale energy sales (other than those that are recallable to meet reserve requirements) have been curtailed.
EEA 2	Load management procedures in effect	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The BA is no longer able to provide its expected energy requirements and is an energy deficient BA. An energy deficient BS has implemented its operating plan(s) to mitigate emergencies. An energy deficient BA is still able to maintain minimum contingency reserve requirements.
EEA 3	Firm Load interruption is imminent or in progress	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The energy deficient BA is unable to meet minimum contingency reserve requirements.


Transfers in a Wide-Area Event


When above-normal temperatures extend over a wide area, resources can be strained in multiple assessment areas simultaneously, increasing the risk of shortfalls. Some assessment areas expect imports from other areas to be available to meet periods of peak demand and have contracted for firm transfer commitments. A summary of area firm on-peak imports and exports is shown in [Table 3](#). Firm resource transactions, such as these, are accounted for in all assessment area anticipated resources and reserve margins. Areas with net imports show a positive transfer amount, and areas with net exports show a negative transfer amount. Only areas that contained transfers for the previous or upcoming summer seasons are shown in [Table 3](#); the data in this table is sourced from the data adequacy tables in the [Data Concepts and Assumptions](#) section. In the unlikely event that multiple assessment areas are experiencing energy emergencies as could occur in a wide-area heatwave, some transfers may be at risk of not being fulfilled. Transfer agreements may include provisions that allow the exporting entity to prioritize serving native load. Loss of transfers could exacerbate resource shortages that occur from outages and derates.

Assessment Area	2020 Summer Transfers (MW)	2021 Summer Transfers (MW)	Year-to-Year Change
MISO	2,795	2,979	6.6%
MRO-Manitoba	-1,526	-1,596	4.6%
MRO-SaskPower	125	125	0.0%
NPCC-Maritimes	-53	-57	7.5%
NPCC-New England	1,510	1,208	-20.0%
NPCC-New York	1,562	1,816	16.3%
NPCC-Ontario	0	80	N/A
NPCC-Quebec	-1,963	-1,995	1.6%
PJM	1,412	1,460	3.4%
SERC-C	-807	172	-121.3%
SERC-E	266	562	111.3%
SERC-FP	1,146	1,007	-12.1%
SERC-SE	-972	-1,115	14.7%
SPP	-1,244	186	-115.0%
TRE-ERCOT	817	210	-74.3%
WECC-AB	0	0	N/A
WECC-BC	0	0	N/A
WECC-CAMX	0	686	N/A
WECC-NWPP-US and RMRG	749	6,139	719.6%
WECC-SRSG	0	866	N/A

Regional Assessments Dashboards

The following assessment area dashboards and summaries were developed based on data and narrative information collected by NERC from the six RE on an assessment area basis.






MISO

The Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc. (MISO) is a not-for-profit, member-based organization that administers wholesale electricity markets that provide customers with valued service; reliable, cost-effective systems and operations; dependable and transparent prices; open access to markets; and planning for long-term efficiency.

MISO manages energy, reliability, and operating reserve markets that consist of 36 local BA and 394 market participants, serving approximately 42 million customers. Although parts of MISO fall in three NERC RE, MRO is responsible for coordinating data and information submitted for NERC’s reliability assessments.

On-Peak Fuel Mix





Highlights

- Summer scenarios with high resource outages and high demand may require use of load modifying resources (LMRs) and non-firm imports during peak periods. LMRs are an increasingly important segment of MISO resource portfolio. Operators designate resource constrained periods (Maximum Generation Events) to access LMRs.
- All MISO zones have met local capacity clearing requirements in the wholesale market auction and are projected to have sufficient resources for the summer.
- Covid-19 impacts on MISO load through late 2020 and the first quarter of 2021 have been much less pronounced than they were at the beginning of the pandemic. During the pandemic, MISO load has run 1–2% below normal in mild weather and 1–2% above normal in hotter weather. MISO expects load to trend close to normal through the summer; however, during a heatwave, load could trend 1–3% above normal due to increased residential demand.
- Based on probabilistic studies performed by MISO, the area has low amounts of EUE (18.6 MWh) for the summer season. Greatest risk occurs in the month of July, coinciding with the typical peak in annual demand.


Risk Scenario Summary

Expected resources meet operating reserve requirements under normal peak-demand scenarios. Above-normal summer peak load and outage conditions could result in the need to employ operating mitigations (i.e., demand response, transfers, and short-term load interruption).



Scenario Description

- **Risk Period:** Highest risk for unserved energy at peak demand hour (late afternoon).
- **Demand Scenarios:** Net internal demand (50/50) and 90/10 demand forecast using 30 years of historical data
- **Maintenance Outages:** Rolling five-year average of maintenance and planned outages
- **Forced Outages:** Five-year average of all outages that were not planned
- **Extreme Derates:** Maximum of last five years of outages
- **Operational Mitigation:** A total of 2.4 GW capacity resources available during extreme operating conditions.




MRO-Manitoba Hydro

Manitoba Hydro is a provincial crown corporation that provides electricity to about 580,000 customers throughout Manitoba and natural gas service to about 282,000 customers in various communities throughout Southern Manitoba. The Province of Manitoba has a population of about 1.3 million in an area of 250,946 square miles.

Manitoba Hydro is winter-peaking. No change in the footprint area is expected during the assessment period. Manitoba Hydro is its own Planning Coordinator and BA. Manitoba Hydro is a coordinating member of MISO. MISO is the Reliability Coordinator for Manitoba Hydro.

On-Peak Fuel Mix




Highlights

- While the COVID-19 pandemic is expected to continue over the summer, no impact on area BPS reliability is anticipated as Manitoba Hydro has measures in place to minimize risk to operations. As of mid-March 2021, the pandemic situation in Manitoba appears stable with the implemented government measures.
- Reservoir storage levels are average and adequate to withstand the design drought.
- The first of seven Keeyask units is expected in May and the second is expected by July 1, 2021 (93 MW per unit).
- Based on the NERC 2020 Probabilistic Assessment (ProbA) and analysis of summer demand and resources, Manitoba Hydro is unlikely to experience resource shortages requiring operating procedures over the summer.


Risk Scenario Summary

Expected resources meet operating reserve requirements under the assessed scenarios.

On-Peak Reserve Margins




Risk-Period Scenario



Scenario Description

- **Risk Period:** Periods of peak demand
- **Demand Scenarios:** Net internal demand (50/50) and minimum probability of exceedance forecast load
- **Outages:** Accounts for planned maintenance and average forced outages
- **Extreme Derates:** Capacity derate for thermal resources for extreme conditions.




MRO-SaskPower

Saskatchewan is a province of Canada and comprises a geographic area of 651,900 square kilometers (251,700 square miles) with approximately 1.1 million people. Peak demand is experienced in the winter.

The Saskatchewan Power Corporation (SaskPower) is the Planning Coordinator and Reliability Coordinator for the province of Saskatchewan and is the principal supplier of electricity in the province.

SaskPower is a provincial crown corporation and, under provincial legislation, is responsible for the reliability oversight of the Saskatchewan Bulk Electric System (BES) and its interconnections.

On-Peak Fuel Mix




Highlights

- SaskPower experiences high load in summer as a result of hot weather.
- SaskPower conducts an annual summer joint operating study with Manitoba Hydro with inputs from Basin Electric (North Dakota) and prepares operating guidelines for any identified issues.
- Based on a SaskPower probability-based assessment, a low-likelihood scenario (1.8%) of capacity forced outages totaling 450 MW or greater that coincides with peak loads poses some risk of energy emergencies and unserved load. In the case of extreme hot weather conditions combined with large generation forced outages, SaskPower would use available demand response programs, short term power transfers from neighboring utilities, and short-term load interruptions. Risk is higher at the end of August to early October when larger amounts of generation maintenance is planned.


Risk Scenario Summary

Expected resources meet operating reserve requirements under normal peak-demand scenarios. Above-normal summer peak load and outage conditions could result in the need to employ operating mitigations (i.e., demand response, transfers, and short-term load interruption).

On-Peak Reserve Margins



Risk-Period Scenario




Scenario Description

- **Risk Period:** Periods of peak demand, afternoon (Risk is higher at the end of August to early October when more generation planned maintenance occurs.)
- **Demand Scenarios:** Net internal demand (50/50) and above-normal scenario based on peak demand with lighting and all consumer loads
- **Maintenance Outages:** Estimated based on averages from June-September 2020
- **Forced Outages:** Estimated using SaskPower forced outage model
- **Extreme Derates:** Estimated derate on natural gas units under extreme warm weather (>35 °C) based on historic performance and manufacturer data
- **Operational Mitigation:** Based on operational/emergency procedures



NPCC-Maritimes

The Maritimes assessment area is a winter-peaking NPCC area that contains two BA. It is comprised of the Canadian provinces of New Brunswick, Nova Scotia, and Prince Edward Island, and the northern portion of Maine, which is radially connected to the New Brunswick power system. The area covers 58,000 square miles with a total population of 1.9 million.




Highlights

- The Maritimes Area has not identified any operational issues that are expected to impact system reliability. If an event was to occur, there are emergency operations and planning procedures in place. All declared firm capacity is expected to be operational for the summer.
- As part of the planning process, dual-fueled units will have sufficient supplies of heavy fuel oil (HFO) on-site to enable sustained operation in the event of natural gas supply interruptions.
- The effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on load patterns, energy usage, and peak demands will continue to be evaluated during the pandemic.
- The Maritimes are evaluating contingency plans for transmission, distribution and generation planned work, planned maintenance, and forced outages to proceed conservatively while mitigating short term and longer term reliability risks.
- Based on an NPCC probabilistic assessment, the Maritimes assessment area is estimated to require a limited use of their operating procedures designed to mitigate resource shortages during Summer 2021. Negligible amounts of LOLE, LOLH, and EUE were estimated over the summer period for all the scenarios modeled.


Risk Scenario Summary

Expected resources meet operating reserve requirements under the assessed scenarios.

On-Peak Reserve Margins




Risk-Period Scenario



Scenario Description


- **Risk Period:** Periods of peak demand
- **Demand Scenarios:** Net internal demand (50/50) and 90/10 demand forecast
- **Outages:** Based on historical operating experience
- **Extreme Derates:** A low-likelihood scenario resulting in no wind resources



NPCC-New England

ISO New England (ISO-NE) Inc. is a regional transmission organization that serves the six New England states of Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont. It is responsible for the reliable day-to-day operation of New England’s bulk power generation and transmission system, administers the area’s wholesale electricity markets, and manages the comprehensive planning of the regional BPS.

The New England BPS serves approximately 14.5 million customers over 68,000 square miles.





Highlights

- ISO New England (ISO-NE) expects to have sufficient resources to meet the area summer peak demand forecast. Peak summer demand is forecast to be 24,810 MW occurring the week of August 8 with a projected net margin of 1,910 MW (7.6%). The summer demand forecast takes into account the demand reductions associated with energy efficiency, load management, behind-the-meter photovoltaic systems, and distributed generation.
- ISO-NE is producing a weekly analysis of the impact the response to COVID-19 is having on New England system demand, posted on its external website every Tuesday.¹⁷ ISO-NE will adjust forecasts based on trends.
- Based on an NPCC probabilistic assessment with scenarios, the New England assessment area is expected to require limited use of their operating procedures designed to mitigate resource shortages during Summer 2021. Negligible amounts of LOLE, LOLH, and EUE were estimated over the summer period for all the scenarios modeled except the severe low-likelihood case. The two highest peak load levels for this severe case resulted in LOLE of 0.3 days, with an associated LOLH of 1.3 hours, and an associated EUE of 868 MWh. This scenario is based exclusively on the two highest load levels representing an average 10–15% increase in peak loads over the 50/50 forecast with a combined 7% probability of occurrence. Additional constraints include 10% reduction in NPCC resources and PJM reductions.

Risk Scenario Summary


Expected resources meet operating reserve requirements under normal peak-demand scenarios. Above-normal summer peak load and outage conditions could result in the need to employ operating mitigations (i.e., demand response, transfers, and short-term load interruption.)



Scenario Description

- **Risk Period:** Period of greatest risk coincides with peak demand (afternoon)
- **Demand Scenarios:** Net internal demand (50/50) and 90/10 demand forecast
- **Outages:** Based on weekly averages
- **Extreme Derates:** Represent a 90/10 case based on historical observation of force outages and additional reductions for generation at risk due to natural gas supply
- **Operational Mitigation:** Based on ISO-NE operating procedures


¹⁷ <https://www.iso-ne.com/markets-operations/system-forecast-status/estimated-impacts-of-covid-19-on-demand>



NPCC-New York

The New York Independent System Operator (NYISO) is responsible for operating New York’s BPS, administering wholesale electricity markets, and conducting system planning. The New York Independent System Operator (NYISO) is the only BA within the state of New York. The BPS encompasses approximately 11,000 miles of transmission lines, 760 power generation units, and serves 19.5 million customers. New York experienced its all-time peak demand of 33,956 MW in Summer 2013. The NERC Reference Margin Level is 15%. Wind, grid-connected solar, and run-of-river totals were derated for this calculation. However, New York requires load serving entities to procure capacity for their loads equal to their peak demand plus an Installed Reserve Margin (IRM). The IRM requirement represents a percentage of capacity above peak load forecast and is approved annually by the New York State Reliability Council (NYSRC). NYSRC approved the 2020–2021 IRM at 20.7%.”

On-Peak Fuel Mix




Highlights

- The NYISO is not anticipating any operational issues in the New York control area for the upcoming summer operating period. Adequate capacity margins are anticipated and existing operating procedures are sufficient to handle any issues that may occur.
- High capacity factors on certain New York City peaking units could result in possible violations of their daily NOx emission limits if they were to fully respond to the NYISO dispatch signals; this could occur during long duration hot weather events or following the loss of significant generation or transmission assets. Protocols with state agencies provide for reliable operation during emergencies.
- Based on an NPCC probabilistic assessment with scenarios, the New York assessment area is expected to require limited use of their operating procedures designed to mitigate resource shortages during Summer 2021. New York’s LOLE risk is correlated to simultaneous high loads occurring in PJM, Ontario, and MISO, which limits the availability of external support. Negligible amounts of LOLE, LOLH, and EUE were estimated over the summer period for all the scenarios modeled except for the low-likelihood severe case that assumes simultaneous stressed system conditions for NPCC and the modeled external systems. The two highest peak load levels for this severe case resulted in an estimated LOLE of one occurrence in July, with an associated LOLH of four hours and an EUE of 3,020 MWh risk. The highest peak load level results were based exclusively on only the two highest load levels (representing on average 10–15% increase in peak loads over the 50/50 forecast) having a combined 7% chance of occurring. Additional constraints include 10% reduction in NPCC resources and PJM reductions.


Risk Scenario Summary

Expected resources meet operating reserve requirements under assessed scenarios.

On-Peak Reserve Margins



Risk-Period Scenario



Scenario Description

- **Risk Period:** Periods of peak demand
- **Demand Scenarios:** Net internal demand (50/50) and 90/10 demand forecast with demand response adjustments
- **Forced Outages:** Based on historical 5-year averages
- **Extreme Derates:** Capacity derate for thermal resources for extreme conditions
- **Operational Mitigation:** 3.3 GW based on operational/emergency procedures in area *Emergency Operations Manual*



NPCC-Ontario

The Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is the BA for the province of Ontario. The province of Ontario covers more than 1 million square kilometers (415,000 square miles) and has a population of more than 14 million.




Ontario is interconnected electrically with Québec, MRO-Manitoba, states in MISO (Minnesota and Michigan), and NPCC-New York.

Highlights

- Ontario expects to have sufficient generation resources available to meet its needs throughout the summer, and its transmission system is expected to continue to reliably supply province-wide demand
- In December 2020, the IESO ran its first capacity auction, clearing 992.1 MW of capacity for the 2021 summer period. The capacity auction will be an important tool for meeting Ontario’s future reliability needs.
- The ongoing transmission outage at the New York-St Lawrence interconnection continues to impact import and export capacity between Ontario and New York. The issue is being jointly managed by entities involved.
- Based on an NPCC probabilistic assessment, the Ontario assessment area is estimated to require a limited use of their operating procedures designed to mitigate resource shortages during Summer 2021. Ontario’s LOLE risk is correlated to the availability of their external imports at the time of Ontario’s peak load. Negligible amounts of LOLE, LOLH, and EUE were estimated over the summer period for all the scenarios modeled except the low-likelihood severe case and highest peak load levels (which resulted in an LOLE of 0.4 days with an associated LOLH of 1.2 hours and an associated EUE of 1,042 MWh risk in July). The highest peak load level results were based exclusively on only the two highest load levels of the seven modeled, having a combined 7% chance of occurring in this already low-likelihood case (with about a 10% reduction in NPCC resources and PJM reductions).

Risk Scenario Summary

Expected resources meet operating reserve requirements under normal peak-demand scenarios. Extreme summer peak load and outage conditions could result in the need to employ operating mitigations (i.e., demand response, transfers, and short-term load interruption).



Scenario Description

- **Risk Period:** Period of greatest risk coincides with peak demand (afternoon)
- **Demand Scenarios:** Net internal demand (50/50 Forecast) and highest weather-adjusted daily demand from 31 years of demand history
- **Forced Outages:** Estimated using market forced outage model
- **Extreme Derates:** Hydro derates are based on 2012 (dry-year) conditions. Thermal derates are estimated using an extreme temperature from 31 years of historical data.
- **Operational Mitigation:** Imports anticipated from neighbors during emergencies



NPCC-Québec

The Québec assessment area (Province of Québec) is a winter-peaking NPCC area that covers 595,391 square miles with a population of 8 million.

Québec is one of the four NERC Interconnections in North America; it has ties to Ontario, New York, New England, and the Maritimes; consisting of either HVDC ties, radial generation, or load to and from neighboring systems.


Highlights

- No issues are anticipated for the summer since the Québec system is winter peaking.
- Based on an NPCC probabilistic assessment, the Québec assessment area is not expected to require use of their operating procedures designed to mitigate resource shortages during Summer 2021. The Québec area is winter peaking and has a large reserve margin for the summer period; as a result, Québec did not demonstrate any measurable amounts of LOLE, LOLH, or EUE risk over the summer period for all the scenarios modeled.


Risk Scenario Summary

Expected resources meet operating reserve requirements under assessed scenarios.

On-Peak Reserve Margins




Risk-Period Scenario



Scenario Description

- **Risk Period:** Period of peak demand (afternoons)
- **Demand Scenarios:** Net internal demand (50/50) and 90/10 demand forecast
- **Extreme Derates:** Rare scenario of 1,200 MW in unplanned outages

On-Peak Fuel Mix






PJM

PJM Interconnection is a regional transmission organization that coordinates the movement of wholesale electricity in all or parts of Delaware, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Maryland, Michigan, New Jersey, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia. PJM serves 65 million people and covers 369,089 square miles.

PJM is a BA, Planning Coordinator, Transmission Planner, Resource Planner, Interchange Authority, Transmission Operator, Transmission Service Provider, and Reliability Coordinator.

On-Peak Fuel Mix




Highlights

- PJM expects no resource problems over the entire 2021 summer peak season. Installed capacity is almost double the Reference Margin Level and there are currently no known deliverability restrictions.
- Probabilistic studies performed by PJM indicate that there is low risk of resource shortfall for summer. The analysis included a range of load, generation, and outage scenarios.
- PJM’s Reference Margin Level decreased from 15.1% to 14.9% due to lower average expected forced outage rates in the 2020 PJM capacity model compared to prior years.


Risk Scenario Summary

Expected resources meet operating reserve requirements under assessed scenarios.

On-Peak Reserve Margins



Risk-Period Scenario



Scenario Description

- **Risk Period:** Highest risk for unserved energy at peak demand hour (afternoon)
- **Demand Scenarios:** Net internal demand (50/50) and 90/10 demand forecast
- **Outages:** Based on historical data and trending
- **Extreme Derates:** Derate accounts for reduced thermal capacity contributions due to performance in extreme conditions
- **Operational Mitigation:** A total of 2 GW obtained through emergency requests for behind-the-meter generation dispatch




SERC-East

SERC-East is a summer-peaking assessment area within the SERC RE. SERC-East includes North Carolina and South Carolina.

SERC is one of the six companies across North America that are responsible for the work under Federal Energy Regulatory Commission approved delegation agreements with NERC. SERC is specifically responsible for the reliability and security of the electric grid across the southeastern and central areas of the United States. This area covers approximately 630,000 square miles and serves a population of more than 91 million.

The SERC RE includes 36 BA, 28 Planning Authorities, and 6 Reliability Coordinators.

On-Peak Fuel Mix




Highlights

- Entities in SERC-East have not identified any potential reliability issues for the upcoming season. The entities continue to perform resource studies to ensure resource adequacy to meet the summer peak demand and to maintain reliability to the system. Entities reported that coal inventory is in the upper allowed range to maintain reliability.
- Entities in the SERC RE continue to participate actively in the SERC Near-Term and Long-Term Working Groups. These groups identify emerging and potential reliability impacts to transmission and resource adequacy as well as with transfer capability.
- Entities in SERC-East are not anticipating operational challenges for the upcoming summer season.
- Probabilistic analysis performed for SERC-East shows almost no risk for resource shortfall for the summer. SERC-East has a small amount of EUE in August but a negligible amount at other times (EUE < 0.4 MWh).


Risk Scenario Summary

Expected resources meet operating reserve requirements under assessed scenarios.

On-Peak Reserve Margins



Risk-Period Scenario



Scenario Description

- **Risk Period:** Highest risk for unserved energy at peak demand hour (afternoon)
- **Demand Scenarios:** Net internal demand (50/50) and 90/10 demand forecast
- **Forced Outages:** Weighted average forced outage rates on-peak are factored into the anticipated resources calculation
- **Extreme Derates:** Account for reduced thermal capacity contributions due to performance in extreme conditions




SERC-Central

SERC-Central is a summer peaking assessment area within the SERC RE. SERC-Central includes all of Tennessee and portions of Georgia, Alabama, Mississippi, and Kentucky.

SERC is one of the six companies across North America that are responsible for the work under Federal Energy Regulatory Commission approved delegation agreements with NERC. SERC is specifically responsible for the reliability and security of the electric grid across the southeastern and central areas of the United States. This area covers approximately 630,000 square miles and serves a population of more than 91 million.

The SERC RE includes 36 BA, 28 Planning Authorities, and 6 Reliability Coordinators.




Highlights

- Entities in SERC-Central have not identified any potential reliability issues for the upcoming season. Entities have noted that planned outages are on schedule to be completed prior to the summer season and not anticipated to result in potential reliability issues.
- Entities in the SERC RE continue to participate actively in the SERC Near-Term and Long-Term Working Groups. These groups identify emerging and potential reliability impacts to transmission and resource adequacy along with transfer capability.
- Probabilistic analysis performed for SERC-Central shows low risk for resource shortfall for the summer. Load loss and unserved energy indices are negligible for SERC-Central.


Risk Scenario Summary

Expected resources meet operating reserve requirements under assessed scenarios.

On-Peak Reserve Margins




Risk-Period Scenario



Scenario Description

- **Risk Period:** Highest risk for unserved energy at peak demand hour (afternoon)
- **Demand Scenarios:** Net internal demand (50/50) and 90/10 demand forecast
- **Forced Outages:** Weighted average forced outage rates on-peak are factored into the anticipated resources calculation
- **Extreme Derates:** Account for reduced thermal capacity contributions due to performance in extreme conditions




SERC-Southeast

SERC-Southeast is a summer peaking assessment area within the SERC RE. SERC-Southeast includes all or portions of Georgia, Alabama, and Mississippi.

SERC is one of the six companies across North America that are responsible for the work under Federal Energy Regulatory Commission approved delegation agreements with NERC. SERC is specifically responsible for the reliability and security of the electric grid across the southeastern and central areas of the United States. This area covers approximately 630,000 square miles and serves a population of more than 91 million.

The SERC RE includes 36 BA, 28 Planning Authorities, and 6 Reliability Coordinators.

On-Peak Fuel Mix




Highlights

- Entities in SERC Southeast have not identified any emerging reliability issues for the upcoming season that will impact resource adequacy. The available system capacity for the upcoming season meets or exceeds the reserve margin target. Reliability is supported by a diverse fuel mix, firm gas contracts, and power purchases.
- Entities in the SERC area continue to participate actively in the SERC Near-Term and Long-Term Working Groups. These groups identify emerging and potential reliability impacts to transmission and resource adequacy along with transfer capability.
- Probabilistic analysis performed for SERC-Southeast shows there is low risk for resource shortfall for the summer. Load loss and unserved energy indices are negligible for SERC-Southeast throughout the summer.


Risk Scenario Summary

Expected resources meet operating reserve requirements under assessed scenarios.

On-Peak Reserve Margins



Risk-Period Scenario



Scenario Description

- **Risk Period:** Highest risk for unserved energy at peak demand hour (afternoon)
- **Demand Scenarios:** Net internal demand (50/50) and 90/10 demand forecast
- **Forced Outages and Extreme Derates:** All outages and derates are factored into the anticipated resources calculation




SERC-Florida Peninsula

SERC-Florida Peninsula is a summer peaking assessment area within the SERC RE.

SERC is one of the six companies across North America that are responsible for the work under Federal Energy Regulatory Commission approved delegation agreements with NERC. SERC is specifically responsible for the reliability and security of the electric grid across the southeastern and central areas of the United States. This area covers approximately 630,000 square miles and serves a population of more than 91 million.

The SERC RE includes 36 BA, 28 Planning Authorities, and 6 Reliability Coordinators.

On-Peak Fuel Mix




Highlights

- Entities in SERC-Florida Peninsula have not identified any emerging reliability issues or operational concerns for the upcoming summer. Entities in the SERC Region continue to participate actively in the SERC Near-Term and Long-Term Working Groups. These groups identify emerging and potential reliability impacts to transmission and resource adequacy along with transfer capability.
- Entities within the Florida Peninsula area have reported no operational challenges for the upcoming summer season based on current expected system conditions, the BES within the Florida Peninsula is expected to perform reliably for the anticipated 2021 summer season.
- Probabilistic analysis performed for SERC-Florida Peninsula shows there is low risk for resource shortfall for the summer. Load loss and unserved energy indices for SERC-Florida Peninsula are spread across the summer months but are relatively low (LOLH < 0.03 and EUE < 18 MWH).


Risk Scenario Summary

Expected resources meet operating reserve requirements under assessed scenarios.

On-Peak Reserve Margins




Risk-Period Scenario



Scenario Description


- **Risk Period:** Highest risk for unserved energy at peak demand hour (afternoon)
- **Demand Scenarios:** Net internal demand (50/50) and 90/10 demand forecast
- **Forced Outages:** Weighted average forced outage rates on-peak are factored into the anticipated resources calculation
- **Extreme Derates:** Account for reduced thermal capacity contributions due to performance in extreme conditions



SPP

Southwest Power Pool (SPP) Planning Coordinator footprint covers 546,000 square miles and encompasses all or parts of Arkansas, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Minnesota, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Texas, and Wyoming.

The SPP long-term assessment is reported based on the Planning Coordinator footprint, which touches parts of the Midwest Reliability Organization RE, and the WECC RE. The SPP assessment area footprint has approximately 61,000 miles of transmission lines, 756 generating plants, and 4,811 transmission-class substations, and it serves a population of more than 18 million.




Highlights

- At this time, SPP does not anticipate any emerging reliability issues impacting the area for the 2021 summer season.
- Wind generation occupies a greater share of the SPP resource mix, requiring increased attention to weather-dependent forecasts. The SPP Uncertainty Response Team uses historical data to predict and develop mitigation plans for load forecast errors up to seven days in advance. Potential errors are predicted based on the levels of expected load, wind, and traditional resource outage in forecast. Mitigation may be obtained by scheduling longer-lead resources, controlling planned outages, and communicating with owners and operators.
- Using the current operational processes and procedures, SPP will continue to assess the needs for the 2021 summer season and will adjust as needed to ensure that real time reliability is maintained throughout the summer time frame.
- Probabilistic studies performed by SPP indicate for the 2021 summer season indicate that the current Planning Reserve Margin is sufficient for the 2021 summer season.


Risk Scenario Summary

Expected resources meet operating reserve requirements under normal peak-demand scenarios. Extreme summer peak load and outage conditions could result in the need to employ operating mitigations (i.e., demand response, transfers, and short-term load interruption).

On-Peak Reserve Margins



Risk-Period Scenario



Scenario Description

- **Risk Period:** Highest risk for unserved energy at peak demand hour (late afternoon)
- **Demand Scenarios:** Net internal demand (50/50) and 90/10 demand forecast
- **Maintenance Outages:** Based on historical summer average for the past three years
- **Forced Outages:** Based on historical summer average for the past three years
- **Extreme Thermal Derates:** Derate accounts for reduced capacity contributions due to performance in extreme conditions
- **Low-Wind Scenario:** Rare scenario with only 320 MW (of 26,800 MW installed capacity) contributing to meet demand
- **Operational Mitigation:** 1,700 MW based on operational/emergency procedures




Texas RE-ERCOT

The Electric Reliability Council of Texas (ERCOT) is the ISO for the ERCOT Interconnection and is located entirely in the state of Texas; it operates as a single BA. It also performs financial settlement for the competitive wholesale bulk-power market and administers retail switching for nearly 8 million premises in competitive choice areas. ERCOT is governed by a board of directors and subject to oversight by the Public Utility Commission of Texas and the Texas Legislature.

ERCOT is a summer-peaking RE that covers approximately 200,000 square miles, connects over 46,500 miles of transmission lines, has over 710 generation units, and serves more than 25 million customers. Lubbock Power & Light joins the ERCOT grid on June 1, 2021. Texas RE is responsible for the RE functions described in the *Energy Policy Act of 2005* for the ERCOT RE.

On-Peak Fuel Mix




Highlights

- Summer probabilistic analysis performed by ERCOT indicates that the risk of unserved energy is low. Hour-ending 5:00 p.m. continues to be ERCOT’s highest-risk hour for unserved energy with the likelihood of unserved energy less than 0.2%.
- Variable energy resources from wind and solar are critical to meeting peak electricity demand in ERCOT. Periods of low wind generation or higher-than expected thermal outages create a reliability risk during peak load hours. ERCOT appears to be in a weather cycle that may increase the risk of intensifying drought conditions and higher than normal summer temperatures. These weather factors could result in actual summer peak demand exceeding the forecast, which already anticipates record peak demand levels. Thermal outages may increase during severe and prolonged drought conditions due to cooling water supply and temperature issues.
- Given an Anticipated Reserve Margin of 15.3% and Reference Reserve Margin of 13.75%, ERCOT expects to have sufficient operating reserves for summer system conditions.
- Delays or cancellations of planned transmission expansion projects in the western part of the Lower Rio Grande Valley, if they occur, may contribute to potential localized reliability concerns.


Risk Scenario Summary

Expected resources meet operating reserve requirements under normal peak-demand scenarios. Above-normal summer peak load and outage conditions could result in the need to employ operating mitigations (i.e., demand response, transfers, and short-term load interruption).

On-Peak Reserve Margins




Risk-Period Scenario



Scenario Description

- **Risk Period:** Highest risk for unserved energy at peak demand hour, late afternoon (Risk can extend for 1–2 hours after peak as solar PV output diminishes. Periods of low-wind, which usually occur 1–2 hours before peak demand, can also result in extended shortfall risk).
- **Demand Scenarios:** Net internal demand (50/50) and extreme demand based on 2011 historic summer peak demand (approximates 90/10 demand forecast)
- **Forced Outages:** Based on historical average of forced outages for June through September weekdays, hours ending 3:00–8:00 p.m., for the last three summer seasons (2018–2020)
- **Extreme Derates:** Additional derates of 2,605 MW (thermal), 6,576 MW (wind), and 2,953 MW (PV) for extreme conditions (i.e., based on the 95th percentile of historical forced outages for June–September weekdays, hours ending 3:00–8:00 p.m., for the last three years).
- **Extreme Outage Scenario:** Additional increments of thermal and hydro forced outages equating to highest hourly forced outages from 2011–2021 (When combined with extreme derates shown in the Risk-Period Scenario, it represents a very rare resource condition.)
- **Operational Mitigation:** Additional resources, primarily from load resources, but also switchable generation, additional imports, and voltage reduction)




WECC-AB

WECC-Alberta is an assessment area in the WECC RE that consists of the province of Alberta, Canada.

WECC is responsible for coordinating and promoting BES reliability in the Western Interconnection. WECC's 329 members, which include 38 BA, represent a wide spectrum of organizations with an interest in the BES. Serving an area of nearly 1.8 million square miles and more than 82 million people, it is geographically the largest and most diverse of the NERC RE.

WECC's service territory extends from Canada to Mexico. It includes the provinces of Alberta and British Columbia in Canada, the northern portion of Baja California in Mexico, and all or portions of the 14 Western United States in between.

On-Peak Fuel Mix




Highlights

- WECC-Alberta is a winter peaking province. Sufficient resources are anticipated to meet summer demand.
- Based on a WECC probabilistic assessment, the WECC-AB assessment area had negligible LOLH and EUE.


Risk Scenario Summary

Expected resources meet operating reserve requirements under assessed scenarios.

On-Peak Reserve Margins




Risk-Period Scenario



Scenario Description

- **Risk Period:** Highest risk for unserved energy at peak demand hour (afternoon)
- **Demand Scenarios:** Net internal demand (50/50) and 90/10 demand forecast
- **Forced Outages:** Average seasonal outages
- **Extreme Derates:** Derate using 90/10 scenario




WECC-BC

WECC-British Columbia is an assessment area in the WECC RE that consists of the province of British Columbia, Canada.

WECC is responsible for coordinating and promoting BES reliability in the Western Interconnection. WECC's 329 members, which include 38 BA, represent a wide spectrum of organizations with an interest in the BES. Serving an area of nearly 1.8 million square miles and more than 82 million people, it is geographically the largest and most diverse of the NERC RE.

WECC's service territory extends from Canada to Mexico. It includes the provinces of Alberta and British Columbia in Canada, the northern portion of Baja California in Mexico, and all or portions of the 14 Western United States in between.




Highlights

- WECC-British Columbia is a winter peaking province. Sufficient resources are anticipated to meet summer demand.
- Based on a WECC probabilistic assessment, the WECC-AB assessment area had negligible LOLH and EUE.


Risk Scenario Summary

Expected resources meet operating reserve requirements under assessed scenarios.

On-Peak Reserve Margins



Risk-Period Scenario



Scenario Description

- **Risk Period:** Highest risk for unserved energy at peak demand hour (afternoon)
- **Demand Scenarios:** Net internal demand (50/50) and 90/10 demand forecast
- **Forced Outages:** Average seasonal outages
- **Extreme Derates:** Derate using 90/10 scenario




WECC-CAMX

WECC California-Mexico is an assessment area in the WECC RE that includes parts of California, Nevada, and Baja California, Mexico.

WECC is responsible for coordinating and promoting BES reliability in the Western Interconnection. WECC's 329 members, which include 38 BA, represent a wide spectrum of organizations with an interest in the BES. Serving an area of nearly 1.8 million square miles and more than 82 million people, it is geographically the largest and most diverse of the NERC RE.

WECC's service territory extends from Canada to Mexico. It includes the provinces of Alberta and British Columbia in Canada, the northern portion of Baja California in Mexico, and all or portions of the 14 Western United States in between.

On-Peak Fuel Mix




Highlights

- Anticipated resources, which include new capacity in development as well as imports, are expected to be sufficient to meet summer peak demand. However, supply shortfalls from unanticipated low variable generation output, limited imports, or thermal generation outages could lead to energy emergencies. Extreme demand, as seen in 2020, could also lead to emergencies.
- WECC-CAMX has planned resource additions of 1,300 MW over the summer, including 825 MW of new battery storage that are in development. Owners and operators must keep focus on project timelines and implementation milestones to meet anticipated resource levels and help reduce resource adequacy risks in late-summer.
- The Western Interconnection is at risk of experiencing operating challenges from wildfires. Transmission lines may be removed from service in areas with active wildfires or heightened wildfire risk. These transmission outages can impose BPS operational constraints resulting in loss of load events.
- Based on a WECC probabilistic assessment, the California portion of the assessment area has an LOLH of 0.20 hours and an EUE of 10,185 MWh. The Mexico portion has negligible LOLH and EUE.


Risk Scenario Summary

Expected resources (including summer additions) meet operating reserve requirements under normal demand scenarios. Above-normal peak load would cause area resource shortages during periods of peak demand and extend into evenings as solar PV output diminishes while demand remains high. High thermal resource outages or reduced availability of imports associated with extreme or wide-area heat events are likely to result in firm load-shed.

On-Peak Reserve Margins




Risk-Period Scenario



Scenario Description

- **Risk Period:** Period of greatest risk typically within two hours following afternoon peak demand as solar PV output diminishes
- **Demand Scenarios:** Net internal demand (50/50) and 90/10 demand forecast
- **Forced Outages:** Estimated using market forced outage model
- **Extreme Derates:** Derate on natural gas units based on historic data and manufacturer data for temperature performance and outages




WECC-NWPP & RMRG

WECC Northwest Power Pool and Rocky Mountain Reserve Sharing Group is an assessment area in the WECC RE. The area includes Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Oregon, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming and parts of California, Nebraska, Nevada, and South Dakota.

WECC is responsible for coordinating and promoting BES reliability in the Western Interconnection. WECC's 329 members, which include 38 BA, represent a wide spectrum of organizations with an interest in the BES. Serving an area of nearly 1.8 million square miles and more than 82 million people, it is geographically the largest and most diverse of the NERC RE.

WECC's service territory extends from Canada to Mexico. It includes the provinces of Alberta and British Columbia in Canada, the northern portion of Baja California in Mexico, and all or portions of the 14 Western United States in between.

On-Peak Fuel Mix




Highlights

- The anticipated reserve margins for WECC, its subregions, and all zones within are expected to exceed their respective NERC Reference Margin Levels for the upcoming season
- WECC merged the NWPP and RMRG assessment areas in late 2020, so an Anticipated Reserve Margin or a Reference Margin Level was not produced for the 2020 assessment year for comparison. However, it is estimated that anticipated resources have declined by 4% since 2020 while demand is not significantly changed in the merged area for the upcoming summer (see [Demand and Resource Tables](#)).
- Localized short-term operational issues may occur due to wildfires. Due to the widely dispersed nature of the transmission system, outages due to wildfires are generally not widespread.
- Based on a WECC probabilistic assessment, the WECC-NWPP assessment area had an LOLH of 0.06 hour and a EUE of 3,442 MWh.


Risk Scenario Summary

Expected resources meet operating reserve requirements under normal peak-demand scenarios. Extreme summer peak load and outage conditions could result in the need to employ operating mitigations (i.e., demand response, transfers, and short-term load interruption).

On-Peak Reserve Margins




Risk-Period Scenario



Scenario Description

- **Risk Period:** Highest risk for unserved energy at peak demand hour (late afternoon)
- **Demand Scenarios:** Net internal demand (50/50) and 90/10 demand forecast
- **Forced Outages:** Average seasonal outages
- **Extreme Derates:** Derate using 90/10 scenario




WECC-SRSG

WECC Southwest Reserve Sharing Group is an assessment area in the WECC RE. It includes Arizona and New Mexico and part of California and Texas.

WECC is responsible for coordinating and promoting BES reliability in the Western Interconnection. WECC's 329 members, which include 38 BA, represent a wide spectrum of organizations with an interest in the BES. Serving an area of nearly 1.8 million square miles and more than 82 million people, it is geographically the largest and most diverse of the NERC RE.

WECC's service territory extends from Canada to Mexico. It includes the provinces of Alberta and British Columbia in Canada, the northern portion of Baja California in Mexico, and all or portions of the 14 Western United States in between.

On-Peak Fuel Mix




Highlights

- The Anticipated Reserve Margins for WECC, its subregions, and all zones within are expected to exceed their respective NERC Reference Margin Levels for the upcoming season.
- For the upcoming summer season, California ISO is procuring resources to improve reliability risks.
- Localized short-term operational issues may occur due to wildfires. Due to the widely dispersed nature of the transmission system, outages due to wildfires are generally not widespread.
- Based on a WECC probabilistic assessment, the WECC-SRSG assessment area had negligible LOLH and EUE.


Risk Scenario Summary

Expected resources meet operating reserve requirements under normal peak-demand scenarios. Extreme summer peak load and outage conditions could result in the need to employ operating mitigations (i.e., demand response, transfers, and short-term load interruption).

On-Peak Reserve Margins



Risk-Period Scenario



Scenario Description

- **Risk Period:** Highest risk for unserved energy at peak demand hour (late afternoon)
- **Demand Scenarios:** Net internal demand (50/50) and 90/10 demand forecast
- **Forced Outages:** Average seasonal outages
- **Extreme Derates:** Derate using 90/10 scenario

Data Concepts and Assumptions

The table below explains data concepts and important assumptions used throughout this assessment.

General Assumptions
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reliability of the interconnected BPS is comprised of both adequacy and operating reliability: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adequacy is the ability of the electric system to supply the aggregate electric power and energy requirements of the electricity consumers at all times while taking into account scheduled and reasonably expected unscheduled outages of system components. Operating reliability is the ability of the electric system to withstand sudden disturbances such as electric short-circuits or unanticipated loss of system components. The reserve margin calculation is an important industry planning metric used to examine future resource adequacy. All data in this assessment is based on existing federal, state, and provincial laws and regulations. Differences in data collection periods for each assessment area should be considered when comparing demand and capacity data between year-to-year seasonal assessments. 2020 Long-Term Reliability Assessment data has been used for most of this 2021 assessment period augmented by updated load and capacity data. A positive net transfer capability would indicate a net importing assessment area; a negative value would indicate a net exporter.
Demand Assumptions
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Electricity demand projections, or load forecasts, are provided by each assessment area. Load forecasts include peak hourly load¹⁸ or total internal demand for the summer and winter of each year.¹⁹ Total internal demand projections are based on normal weather (50/50 distribution²⁰) and are provided on a coincident²¹ basis for most assessment areas. Net internal demand is used in all reserve margin calculations, and it is equal to total internal demand then reduced by the amount of controllable and dispatchable demand response projected to be available during the peak hour.
Resource Assumptions
<p>Resource planning methods vary throughout the North American BPS. NERC uses the categories below to provide a consistent approach for collecting and presenting resource adequacy. Table 2 below shows the wind and solar generation resources in each assessment area and describes how capacity contributions values are determined.</p>
<p>Anticipated Resources:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Existing-Certain Capacity: Included in this category are commercially operable generating unit or portions of generating units that meet at least one of the following requirements when examining the period of peak demand for the summer season: unit must have a firm capability and have a power purchase agreement (PPA) with firm transmission that must be in effect for the unit; unit must be classified as a designated network resource; and/or where energy-only markets exist, unit must be a designated market resource eligible to bid into the market. Tier 1 Capacity Additions: This category includes capacity that either is under construction or has received approved planning requirements. Net Firm Capacity Transfers (Imports minus Exports): This category includes transfers with firm contracts.
<p>Prospective Resources: Includes all anticipated resources plus the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Existing-Other Capacity: Included in this category are commercially operable generating units or portions of generating units that could be available to serve load for the period of peak demand for the season but do not meet the requirements of existing-certain.
Reserve Margin Descriptions

¹⁸ [Glossary of Terms](#) used in NERC Reliability Standards

¹⁹ The summer season represents June–September and the winter season represents December–February.

²⁰ Essentially, this means that there is a 50% probability that actual demand will be higher and a 50% probability that actual demand will be lower than the value provided for a given season/year.

²¹ Coincident: This is the sum of two or more peak loads that occur in the same hour. Noncoincident: This is the sum of two or more peak loads on individual systems that do not occur in the same time interval; this is meaningful only when considering loads within a limited period of time, such as a day, a week, a month, a heating or cooling season, and usually for not more than one year. SERC and FRCC calculate total internal demand on a noncoincidental basis.

Planning Reserve Margin: This is the primary metric used to measure resource adequacy; it is defined as the difference in resources (anticipated or prospective) and net internal demand then divided by net internal demand and shown as a percentage.

Reference Margin Level: The assumptions and naming convention of this metric vary by assessment area. The Reference Margin Level can be determined using both deterministic and probabilistic (based on a 0.1/year loss of load study) approaches. In both cases, this metric is used by system planners to quantify the amount of reserve capacity in the system above the forecasted peak demand that is needed to ensure sufficient supply to meet peak loads. Establishing a Reference Margin Level is necessary to account for long-term factors of uncertainty involved in system planning, such as unexpected generator outages and extreme weather impacts that could lead to increase demand beyond what was projected in the 50/50 load forecasted. In many assessment areas, a Reference Margin Level is established by a state, provincial authority, ISO/RTO, or other regulatory body. In some cases, the Reference Margin Level is a requirement. Reference Margin Levels may be different for the summer and winter seasons. If a Reference Margin Level is not provided by an assessment area, NERC applies 15% for predominately thermal systems and 10% for predominately hydro systems.

Seasonal Risk Scenario Chart Description

Each assessment area performed an operational risk analysis that was used to produce the seasonal risk scenario charts in the [Regional Assessments Dashboards](#). The chart presents deterministic scenarios for further analysis of different resource and demand levels: The left blue column shows anticipated resources (from the resource adequacy data table), and the two orange columns at the right show the two demand scenarios of the normal peak net internal demand from the resource adequacy data table and the extreme summer peak demand—both determined by the assessment area. The middle red or green bars show adjustments that are applied cumulatively to the anticipated resources, such as the following:

- Reductions for typical generation outages (i.e., maintenance and forced, not already accounted for in anticipated resources)
- Reductions that represent additional outage or performance derating by resource type for extreme, low-probability conditions (e.g., drought condition impacts on hydroelectric generation, low-wind scenario affecting wind generation, fuel supply limitations, or extreme temperature conditions that result in reduced thermal generation output)
- Additional capacity resources that represent quantified capacity from operational procedures, if any, that are made available during scarcity conditions

Not all assessment areas have the same categories of adjustments to anticipated resources. Furthermore, each assessment area determined the adjustments to capacity based on methods or assumptions that are summarized below the chart. Methods and assumptions differ by assessment area and may not be comparable.

The chart enables evaluation of resource levels against levels of expected operating reserve requirement and the forecasted demand. Further, the effects from low-probability, extreme events can also be examined by comparing resource levels after applying extreme-scenario derates and/or extreme summer peak demand. Because such extreme scenario analysis depicts the cumulative impact resulting from the occurrence of multiple low-probability events, the overall likelihood of this scenario is very low.

Demand and Resource Tables

Peak demand and supply capacity data for each assessment area are provided below.

MISO Resource Adequacy Data			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2020 SRA	2021 SRA	2020 vs. 2021 SRA
Demand Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	124,866	122,398	-2.0%
Demand Response: Available	6,172	6,038	-2.2%
Net Internal Demand	118,694	116,360	-2.0%
Resource Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	140,636	138,464	-1.5%
Tier 1 Planned Capacity	0	0	-
Net Firm Capacity Transfers	2,795	2,979	6.6%
Anticipated Resources	143,430	141,443	-1.4%
Existing-Other Capacity	290	633	118.1%
Prospective Resources	143,720	146,586	2.0%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	20.8%	21.6%	0.8
Prospective Reserve Margin	21.1%	26.0%	4.9
Reference Margin Level	18.0%	18.3%	0.3

MRO-SaskPower Resource Adequacy Data			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2020 SRA	2021 SRA	2020 vs. 2021 SRA
Demand Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	3,480	3,400	-2.3%
Demand Response: Available	60	60	0.0%
Net Internal Demand	3,420	3,340	-2.3%
Resource Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	3,904	3,863	-1.1%
Tier 1 Planned Capacity	0	14	-
Net Firm Capacity Transfers	125	125	0.0%
Anticipated Resources	4,029	4,002	-0.7%
Existing-Other Capacity	0	0	-
Prospective Resources	4,029	4,002	-0.7%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	17.8%	19.8%	2.0
Prospective Reserve Margin	17.8%	19.8%	2.0
Reference Margin Level	11.0%	11.0%	0.0

MRO-Manitoba Hydro Resource Adequacy Data			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2020 SRA	2021 SRA	2020 vs. 2021 SRA
Demand Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	3,272	2,965	-9.4%
Demand Response: Available	0	0	-
Net Internal Demand	3,272	2,965	-9.4%
Resource Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	5,239	5,173	-1.3%
Tier 1 Planned Capacity	0	186	-
Net Firm Capacity Transfers	-1,526	-1,596	4.6%
Anticipated Resources	3,713	3,763	1.4%
Existing-Other Capacity	125	37	-70.3%
Prospective Resources	3,838	3,800	-1.0%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	13.5%	26.9%	13.4
Prospective Reserve Margin	17.3%	28.2%	10.9
Reference Margin Level	12.0%	12.0%	0.0

NPCC-Maritimes Resource Adequacy Data			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2020 SRA	2021 SRA	2020 vs. 2021 SRA
Demand Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	3,370	3,479	3.2%
Demand Response: Available	369	305	-17.3%
Net Internal Demand	3,001	3,174	5.8%
Resource Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	5,312	5,448	2.6%
Tier 1 Planned Capacity	0	0	-
Net Firm Capacity Transfers	-53	-57	7.5%
Anticipated Resources	5,259	5,391	2.5%
Existing-Other Capacity	0	0	-
Prospective Resources	5,259	5,391	2.5%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	75.2%	69.8%	-5.4
Prospective Reserve Margin	75.2%	69.8%	-5.4
Reference Margin Level	20.0%	20.0%	0.0

NPCC-New England Resource Adequacy Data			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2020 SRA	2021 SRA	2020 vs. 2021 SRA
Demand Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	25,158	25,244	0.3%
Demand Response: Available	443	434	-2.0%
Net Internal Demand	24,715	24,810	0.4%
Resource Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	30,791	29,065	-5.6%
Tier 1 Planned Capacity	0	0	-
Net Firm Capacity Transfers	1,510	1,208	-20.0%
Anticipated Resources	32,301	30,273	-6.3%
Existing-Other Capacity	324	1,115	244.1%
Prospective Resources	32,625	31,388	-3.8%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	30.7%	22.0%	-8.7
Prospective Reserve Margin	32.0%	26.5%	-5.5
Reference Margin Level	18.3%	15.0%	-3.3

NPCC-Ontario Resource Adequacy Data			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2020 SRA	2021 SRA	2020 vs. 2021 SRA
Demand Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	22,195	22,500	1.4%
Demand Response: Available	518	621	20.0%
Net Internal Demand	21,677	21,879	0.9%
Resource Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	25,719	26,217	1.9%
Tier 1 Planned Capacity	49	22	-55.6%
Net Firm Capacity Transfers	0	80	-
Anticipated Resources	25,768	26,319	2.1%
Existing-Other Capacity	0	0	-
Prospective Resources	25,768	26,319	2.1%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	18.9%	20.3%	1.4
Prospective Reserve Margin	18.9%	20.3%	1.4
Reference Margin Level	14.6%	13.2%	-1.4

NPCC-New York Resource Adequacy Data			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2020 SRA	2021 SRA	2020 vs. 2021 SRA
Demand Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	32,296	32,333	0.1%
Demand Response: Available	1,282	1,199	-6.5%
Net Internal Demand	31,014	31,134	0.4%
Resource Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	38,475	37,805	-1.7%
Tier 1 Planned Capacity	101.2	0	-100.0%
Net Firm Capacity Transfers	1,562	1,816	16.3%
Anticipated Resources	40,138	39,621	-1.3%
Existing-Other Capacity	0	0	-
Prospective Resources	40,138	39,621	-1.3%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	29.4%	27.3%	-2.1
Prospective Reserve Margin	29.4%	27.3%	-2.1
Reference Margin Level	15.0%	15.0%	0.0

NPCC-Québec Resource Adequacy Data			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2020 SRA	2021 SRA	2020 vs. 2021 SRA
Demand Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	21,635	21,436	-0.9%
Demand Response: Available	0	0	-
Net Internal Demand	21,635	21,436	-0.9%
Resource Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	34,771	33,380	-4.0%
Tier 1 Planned Capacity	14.25	0	-100.0%
Net Firm Capacity Transfers	-1,963	-1,995	1.6%
Anticipated Resources	32,822	31,385	-4.4%
Existing-Other Capacity	0	0	-
Prospective Resources	32,822	31,385	-4.4%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	51.7%	46.4%	-5.3
Prospective Reserve Margin	51.7%	46.4%	-5.3
Reference Margin Level	9.8%	10.4%	0.6

PJM Resource Adequacy Data			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2020 SRA	2021 SRA	2020 vs. 2021 SRA
Demand Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	148,092	149,224	0.8%
Demand Response: Available	8,929	8,779	-1.7%
Net Internal Demand	139,163	140,445	0.9%
Resource Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	182,523	183,572	0.6%
Tier 1 Planned Capacity	1800	2,400	33.3%
Net Firm Capacity Transfers	1,412	1,460	3.4%
Anticipated Resources	185,735	187,431	0.9%
Existing-Other Capacity	0	0	-
Prospective Resources	185,735	188,891	1.7%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	33.5%	33.5%	0.0
Prospective Reserve Margin	33.5%	34.5%	1.0
Reference Margin Level	15.5%	14.7%	-0.8

SERC-E Resource Adequacy Data			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2020 SRA	2021 SRA	2020 vs. 2021 SRA
Demand Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	43,702	42,680	-2.3%
Demand Response: Available	947	970	2.4%
Net Internal Demand	42,755	41,710	-2.4%
Resource Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	50,825	50,539	-0.6%
Tier 1 Planned Capacity	88	0	-100.0%
Net Firm Capacity Transfers	266	562	111.3%
Anticipated Resources	51,179	51,101	-0.2%
Existing-Other Capacity	851.5	766	-10.0%
Prospective Resources	52,030	51,867	-0.3%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	19.7%	22.5%	2.8
Prospective Reserve Margin	21.7%	24.4%	2.7
Reference Margin Level	15.0%	15.0%	0.0

SERC-C Resource Adequacy Data			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2020 SRA	2021 SRA	2020 vs. 2021 SRA
Demand Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	40,799	40,341	-1.1%
Demand Response: Available	1,970	1,744	-11.5%
Net Internal Demand	38,829	38,597	-0.6%
Resource Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	48,368	47,987	-0.8%
Tier 1 Planned Capacity	0	154	-
Net Firm Capacity Transfers	-807	172	-121.3%
Anticipated Resources	47,561	48,314	1.6%
Existing-Other Capacity	4427	4,290	-3.1%
Prospective Resources	51,988	52,604	1.2%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	22.5%	25.2%	2.7
Prospective Reserve Margin	33.9%	36.3%	2.4
Reference Margin Level	15.0%	15.0%	0.0

SERC-FP Resource Adequacy Data			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2020 SRA	2021 SRA	2020 vs. 2021 SRA
Demand Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	49,286	48,710	-1.2%
Demand Response: Available	2,906	3,030	4.3%
Net Internal Demand	46,380	45,680	-1.5%
Resource Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	55,093	55,351	0.5%
Tier 1 Planned Capacity	333	0	-100.0%
Net Firm Capacity Transfers	1,146	1,007	-12.1%
Anticipated Resources	56,571	56,358	-0.4%
Existing-Other Capacity	529	0	-100.0%
Prospective Resources	57,100	56,358	-1.3%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	22.0%	23.4%	1.4
Prospective Reserve Margin	23.1%	23.4%	0.3
Reference Margin Level	15.0%	15.0%	0.0

SERC-SE Resource Adequacy Data			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2020 SRA	2021 SRA	2020 vs. 2021 SRA
Demand Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	47,311	46,631	-1.4%
Demand Response: Available	2,145	1,671	-22.1%
Net Internal Demand	45,166	44,960	-0.5%
Resource Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	61,495	61,263	-0.4%
Tier 1 Planned Capacity	316	142	-55.0%
Net Firm Capacity Transfers	-972	-1,115	14.7%
Anticipated Resources	60,839	60,290	-0.9%
Existing-Other Capacity	348	783	125.3%
Prospective Resources	61,186	61,073	-0.2%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	34.7%	34.1%	-0.6
Prospective Reserve Margin	35.5%	35.8%	0.3
Reference Margin Level	15.0%	15.0%	0.0

Texas RE-ERCOT Resource Adequacy Data			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2020 SRA	2021 SRA	2020 vs. 2021 SRA
Demand Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	75,200	77,144	2.6%
Demand Response: Available	2,251	2,341	4.0%
Net Internal Demand	72,949	74,803	2.5%
Resource Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	79,395	80,569	1.5%
Tier 1 Planned Capacity	2172	5,489	152.7%
Net Firm Capacity Transfers	817	210	-74.3%
Anticipated Resources	82,384	86,268	4.7%
Existing-Other Capacity	0	0	-
Prospective Resources	82,412	86,296	4.7%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	12.9%	15.3%	2.4
Prospective Reserve Margin	13.0%	15.4%	2.4
Reference Margin Level	13.75%	13.75%	0.0

SPP Resource Adequacy Data			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2020 SRA	2021 SRA	2020 vs. 2021 SRA
Demand Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	51,943	52,249	0.6%
Demand Response: Available	835	606	-27.4%
Net Internal Demand	51,108	51,643	1.0%
Resource Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	69,100	66,600	-3.6%
Tier 1 Planned Capacity	0	300	-
Net Firm Capacity Transfers	-1,244	186	-115.0%
Anticipated Resources	67,856	67,086	-1.1%
Existing-Other Capacity	0	0	-
Prospective Resources	67,856	66,539	-1.9%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	32.8%	29.9%	-2.9
Prospective Reserve Margin	32.8%	28.8%	-4.0
Reference Margin Level	12.0%	16.0%	4.0

WECC-AB Resource Adequacy Data			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2020 SRA	2021 SRA	2020 vs. 2021 SRA
Demand Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	11,500	10,886	-5.3%
Demand Response: Available	0	0	-
Net Internal Demand	11,500	10,886	-5.3%
Resource Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	14,356	12,205	-15.0%
Tier 1 Planned Capacity	0	1,723	-
Net Firm Capacity Transfers	0	0	-
Anticipated Resources	14,356	13,928	-3.0%
Existing-Other Capacity	0	0	-
Prospective Resources	14,356	13,928	-3.0%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	24.8%	27.9%	3.1
Prospective Reserve Margin	24.8%	27.9%	3.1
Reference Margin Level	10.4%	9.7%	-0.7

WECC-BC Resource Adequacy Data			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2020 SRA	2021 SRA	2020 vs. 2021 SRA
Demand Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	8,278	8,264	-0.2%
Demand Response: Available	0	0	-
Net Internal Demand	8,278	8,264	-0.2%
Resource Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	11,471	11,178	-2.6%
Tier 1 Planned Capacity	215	185	-13.8%
Net Firm Capacity Transfers	0	0	-
Anticipated Resources	11,686	11,363	-2.8%
Existing-Other Capacity	0	0	-
Prospective Resources	11,686	11,363	-2.8%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	41.2%	37.5%	-3.7
Prospective Reserve Margin	41.2%	37.5%	-3.7
Reference Margin Level	10.4%	9.7%	-0.7

WECC-NWPP-US and RMRG Resource Adequacy Data			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2020 SRA	2021 SRA	2020 vs. 2021 SRA
Demand Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	66,532	67,117	0.9%
Demand Response: Available	868	1,087	25.2%
Net Internal Demand	65,664	66,030	0.6%
Resource Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	78,839	70,069	-11.1%
Tier 1 Planned Capacity	870	1,002	15.2%
Net Firm Capacity Transfers	749	6,139	719.6%
Anticipated Resources	80,457	77,210	-4.0%
Existing-Other Capacity	0	0	-
Prospective Resources	80,457	77,210	-4.0%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin		16.9%	
Prospective Reserve Margin		16.9%	
Reference Margin Level		14.3%	

WECC-CA/MX Resource Adequacy Data			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2020 SRA	2021 SRA	2020 vs. 2021 SRA
Demand Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	53,236	55,409	4.1%
Demand Response: Available	910	922	1.2%
Net Internal Demand	52,326	54,487	4.1%
Resource Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	63,186	63,396	0.3%
Tier 1 Planned Capacity	92	3,358	3555.6%
Net Firm Capacity Transfers	0	686	-
Anticipated Resources	63,278	67,440	6.6%
Existing-Other Capacity	0	0	-
Prospective Resources	63,278	67,440	6.6%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	20.9%	23.8%	2.9
Prospective Reserve Margin	20.9%	23.8%	2.9
Reference Margin Level	13.7%	18.4%	4.7

WECC-SRSG Resource Adequacy Data			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2020 SRA	2021 SRA	2020 vs. 2021 SRA
Demand Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	25,145	24,751	-1.6%
Demand Response: Available	144	332	129.9%
Net Internal Demand	25,001	24,419	-2.3%
Resource Projections	Megawatts (MW)	Megawatts (MW)	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	29,440	26,850	-8.8%
Tier 1 Planned Capacity	477	188	-60.6%
Net Firm Capacity Transfers	0	866	-
Anticipated Resources	29,917	27,904	-6.7%
Existing-Other Capacity	0	0	-
Prospective Resources	29,917	27,904	-6.7%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	19.7%	14.3%	-5.4
Prospective Reserve Margin	19.7%	14.3%	-5.4
Reference Margin Level	10.0%	9.8%	-0.2

Variable Energy Resource Contributions

Because electrical output of variable energy resources (e.g., wind, solar) depends on weather conditions, on-peak capacity contributions are less than nameplate capacity. The table below shows the capacity contribution of existing wind and solar resources for each assessment area.

BPS Variable Generation Resources by Assessment Area									
Assessment Area / Interconnection	Wind			Solar			Hydro		
	Nameplate Wind	Expected Wind	Expected Share of Nameplate (%)	Nameplate Solar	Expected Solar	Expected Share of Nameplate (%)	Nameplate Hydro	Expected Hydro	Expected Share of Nameplate (%)
MISO	26,829	3,872	14%	725	469	65%	2,440	2,361	97%
MRO-Manitoba Hydro	259	43	17%	-	-	-	5,461	4,903	90%
MRO-SaskPower	616	66	11%	-	-	-	864	787	91%
NPCC-Maritimes	1,188	287	24%	4	-	0%	1,318	1,186	90%
NPCC-New England	1,505	166	11%	375	112	30%	3,890	2,736	70%
NPCC-New York	2,211	502	23%	57	32	56%	6,725	4,666	69%
NPCC-Ontario	4,946	678	14%	478	66	14%	9,060	5,305	59%
NPCC-Québec	3,880		0%	10		0%	41,339	32,750	79%
PJM	8,790	1,410	16%	2,421	997	41%	3,057	3,057	100%
SERC-C	964	958	99%	521	336	65%	5,005	3,572	71%
SERC-E	-	-	-	649	641	99%	3,131	3,085	99%
SERC-FP	-	-	-	3,624	2,049	57%	-	-	-
SERC-SE	-	-	-	2,735	2,282	83%	3,242	3,288	101%
SPP	26,885	4,670	17%	275	252	92%	5,441	5,130	94%
Texas RE-ERCOT	31,829	8,565	27%	7,608	6,086	80%	556	474	85%
WECC-AB	2,219	162	7%	314	202	64%	894	378	42%

BPS Variable Generation Resources by Assessment Area									
	Wind			Solar			Hydro		
Assessment Area / Interconnection	Nameplate Wind	Expected Wind	Expected Share of Nameplate (%)	Nameplate Solar	Expected Solar	Expected Share of Nameplate (%)	Nameplate Hydro	Expected Hydro	Expected Share of Nameplate (%)
WECC-BC	717	142	20%	2	1	50%	16,334	10,088	62%
WECC-CAMX	7,686	1,089	14%	16,918	10,442	62%	11,821	5,993	51%
WECC-NWPP-US-RMRG	16,180	2,318	14%	5,234	4,028	77%	40,992	20,986	51%
WECC-NWPP-SRSG	3,141	636	20%	1,797	1,265	70%	1,303	558	43%
EASTERN INTERCONNECTION	69,446	12,378	18%	9,005	5,463	61%	49,185	39,183	80%
QUÉBEC INTERCONNECTION	3,880	-	0%	10	-	0%	41,339	32,750	79%
TEXAS INTERCONNECTION	31,829	8,565	27%	7,608	6,086	80%	556	474	85%
WECC INTERCONNECTION	29,943	4,347	15%	24,256	15,938	66%	71,344	38,003	53%
TOTAL-NERC	135,097	25,290	19%	40,887	27,488	67%	162,425	110,410	68%